

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,319	07/07/2003	Myles C. S. Harrington	3594-14	4272	
23117 7 NIXON & VAN	7590 02/08/200 IDERHYE. PC	7	EXAM	EXAMINER	
901 NORTH GL	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	LOOR	SWARTZ, JAMIE H		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3694		
		ı			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/08/2007	PAF	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/613,3	19 .	HARRINGTON ET	HARRINGTON ET AL.		
		Examiner		. Art Unit			
		Jamie H.	Swartz	3694			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the	e cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	Idress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- to period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eventication. outory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATI ent, however, may a reply be ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS for dication to become ABANDO	ON. The timely filed Tom the mailing date of this concept (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•			•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	t on 07 July 2003					
2a)□		b)⊠ This action is n	on-final				
3)							
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	·		,,				
Disposit	on of Claims	•		•			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-188 is/are pending in the a	application.		•			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from co	nsideration.	•			
. 5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-188 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	•			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election r	equirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	•	objected to by th	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Offi	ce Action or form P7	TO-152.		
Priority I	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				•		
•	•		05 H 0 0 6 440	(-) (-l) (f)			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or toreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(a) or (t).	•		
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	laavaanta hava haa					
	1. Certified copies of the priority of			Intian No			
	2. Certified copies of the priority of				Stone		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			ived iii tiiis ivationai	Stage		
* 0	application from the Internation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ivod			
	See the attached detailed Office action	i ioi a list oi tile celti	med copies not rece	iveu.			
				•			
Attachmen	t(s)	·					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	O-948)	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Information	al Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/07/2003</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/613,319

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-188 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Taken as a whole the claims recite an undue multiplicity of claims by virtue of the unreasonable number of claims presented would tend to obfuscate, confuse, and becloud the claimed invention. Because the examiner believes that in his judgment that twenty (20) claims are sufficient to properly define applicants! invention, applicants are required to select certain claims, not to exceed twenty-five for examination on the merits, See M.P.E.P. 2173.05(n). To be complete **the non-selected claims must be cancelled** or the applicant(s) must present appropriate arguments as to why the above rejection is in error. Note most patents (80%) have less than twenty claims while patents in excess of 100 claims are less than 0.344% of all cases filed and thus rare (See Federal Register: October 5, 1998 (Volume 63, Number 192, Page 53507). Note also the new excess claim fees effective 12/8/04 as evidence of what is considered to be unreasonable.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,319

Art Unit: 3694

It is further noted that it would appear that a multiplicity of inventions also appear to be involved and the applicants are requested to group their selection accordingly to read on a single invention. The applicant should group the claims according to what he believes to be distinct inventions which may be restricted in a subsequent action.

Applicant is afforded a written response due to the complexity of the case and to afford applicant sufficient time to make a judicious selection of the claims to prosecute.

A telephone call was made to Robert W. Faris (31,352) on January 16, 2007, to inform of the undue multiplicity of claims and request for election of a limited number of claims for full examination. There has been no successful telephone election.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamie H. Swartz whose telephone number is (571) 272-7363. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,319

Art Unit: 3694

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jamie Swartz January 25, 2007

MARY D. CHEUNG